INCREASING AWARENESS OF CYBERSAFETY & TEACHER RESPONSIBILITY WITH YA LIT: ACTION RESEARCH WITH *WANT TO GO PRIVATE?*

Karina R. Clemmons, Judith A. Hayn , & Heather A. Olvey

Contemporary young adult literature (YAL) that is written and marketed specifically for adolescents and young adults offers a unique opportunity to engage adolescents in reading and the discussion of complex, timely themes. Adolescent readers connect to the protagonists in YAL as the young characters experience life and grapple with similar challenges as their young readers (Featherston, 2009; Furi-Perry, 2003).

Fiction and non-fiction YAL has been recommended as a powerful instructional resource for teachers, and lesson plans using YAL have been presented across multiple content areas (Austin, Thompson, & Beckman, 2006; Bean, Readence, & Baldwin, 2011; Bintz, 2011; Bintz, Moore, Hayhurst, Jones, & Tuttle, 2006; Foss, 2008; Fry, 2009). Given YAL's increasing recommendation for classroom use, recent calls to action have stressed the importance of the scholarly study of the genre of YAL to offer more empirical research to inform educators of the complex nature of using YAL to improve student success (Hayn, Clemmons, & Garner, 2013; Hayn, Kaplan & Nolen, 2011; Hayn & Nolen, 2012).

Results from recent studies have supported the effectiveness of fiction and YA lit in engaging students in content and increasing literacy skills (Bintz, 2011; Capraro & Capraro, 2006; Ellis, Taylor, & Drury, 2007; Guzzetti & Bang, 2010; Sanchez, 2007). Hill used *The First Part Last* (2005) with a high school health class to see if adolescent attitudes toward teen pregnancy changed as a result of interaction with this text. He maintains the following:

> . . . integrating young adult literature in content area curriculum can raise relevant life issues through which content area teachers can address critical concepts, while also igniting the kind of authentic discussion that should be a more common experience in every classroom. (29)

Building on Hill's (2005) findings, results from another study (Hayn, Clemmons, & Garner, 2013) indicated that YAL with multicultural themes can be used effectively to change preservice teachers' perceptions of English learners. Building on this knowledge, we sought to investigate whether YAL containing themes related to personal safety in an online environment, or cybersafety, could change preservice teachers' awareness of cybersafety and their sense of responsibility for teaching their students to be safe online.

Interactive Social Technologies and 21st Century Students

Dynamic, interactive technologies such as online social networking have forever altered how individuals relate to others in the 21st Century. This change in patterns of interactions is even more

apparent among adolescents. Unlike digital immigrants who slowly assimilate social networking technologies, 21st Century adolescents are digital natives who have not known a world without these technologies (DeFranco, 2011; Prensky, 2001). Daily media usage among children and teens continues to increase dramatically (Kaiser Family Foundation, 2010). Ninety-three percent of teens aged 12 to 17 go online; 73% of those teens reported using social networking sites (Lenhart, Purcell, Smith, & Zickuhr, 2010), and only three in ten young people report that parents set limits on the amount of time they are able to use the computer (Kaiser Family Foundation, 2010). Research has indicated that children and adolescents want more privacy from parents and teachers, but young people are unaware of many risks involved with online interactions (Cranmer, Selwyn, & Potter, 2009; Davis & James, 2013; Ey & Cupit, 2011; Fodeman, 2006; Fodeman & Monroe, 2009; Gray, 2011; McCarty, Prawitz, Derscheid, & Montgomery, 2011).

Social wellness, comprising maintaining meaningful, supportive relationships with friends, family, and acquaintances, has long been recognized as a critical component of the eight dimensions of wellness, which include emotional, environmental, financial, intellectual, occupational, physical, social, and spiritual wellness (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2012). As social relationships evolve to include online contacts, The National Health Education Standards (NHES) for students in grades 6-12 have adapted to include an evaluation of technology's role in personal health. Standard Two requires that "Students will analyze the influence of family, peers, media, technology, and other health factors on health behaviors" (NHES, 2011).

In addition to the necessity to provide students with skills to help them be safe online, the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) adopted by 44 states urge that "a comprehensive school-wide literacy program" include, in addition to language arts classrooms, "literacy standards in other areas such as … health education" (CCSS, 2010, p.6). Updated standards and the increasing use of the Internet for academic and personal use by K-12 students, highlights the critical importance that teachers be prepared with engaging lessons to address cybersafety with their students (Barnett, 2005; Davis & James, 2013, DeFranco, 2011; Donovan & Lehman, 2011).

> **Research has indicated that children and adolescents want more privacy from parents and teachers, but young people are unaware of many risks involved with online interactions.**

Discussions related to online interactions, including topics such as cyber bullying (Borgia & Myers, 2010; Holladay, 2010; Levy, 2011) and digital citizenship and ethics (Baum, 2005; Hollandsworth, Dowdy, & Donovan, 2011; Gray, 2011; Lindsay &

Davis, 2010) have begun to surface more frequently in the educational community.

The authors of several current articles and reports have urged educators to teach students general cybersafety (Collier & Nigam, 2010; DeFranco, 2011; Endicott-Popovsky, 2009; Fodeman, 2006; Gallagher, 2011; Morehouse, 2011; Panter, 2009; Wishart, Oades, & Morris, 2007). Noticeably missing is action research that tests the efficacy of YAL to increase awareness of issues relating to cybersafety. In addition, though there are some YAL novels with themes prominently featuring texting or online interactions, there are not many in publication currently that address the timely and critical health topic of possible dangers inherent to online interactions.

In a study featuring young adult literature and interaction with the digital world, Koss and Tucker-Raymond (2014) analyzed six young adult novels to determine how teen characters communicated in an online environment and how their social personal identities were used to create "…online personas to meet and communicate with friends and unknown others" (p. 36). The researchers selected the building of adolescent identity creation addressed through online roles. The needs included maintaining social status, positioning themselves as part of a group, finding acceptance, finding a romantic relationship, exploring different representations of self, and developing anonymity. The six books they chose reflect teens' identity-building for each category. They conclude that the extension of the discussions of adolescent identity development in YAL ought to include "…the impact digital technology communication has on many modern teens' identity development" (Koss & Tucker-Raymond, 2014, p. 42).

Informed by past research, this study grew out of the authors' interests in two areas of preservice teacher education. The first was to add to the empirical research investigating the efficacy of YAL.

The second was to address the disparity in technology experience between preservice teacher education candidates and the students they will teach. While K-12 students are simultaneously digital natives who use technology more than any generation before, they are also still youth with less understanding of online safety concerns. The second area of interest relies on our knowledge of our secondary graduate teacher education candidates in a metropolitan university in the mid-South. Our teacher candidates enter the classroom in many ways unprepared for their K-12 students' pervasive use of technology and the inherent risks associated with some technologies in contemporary society.

Barnett (2005) reported that preservice teachers described that few schools they had visited have extensive educational curriculum, policies, and resources related to teaching K-12 students about cybersafety. Barnett (2005) argued that parents are often aware of online dangers for children, but many don't believe their children would do anything risky, making it essential that schools become involved to help teach online safety. He further maintains that teachers who are uninterested or uneducated in online safety put students at higher risk. Barnett (2005) states, "Internet safety, like safety in general, is an important topic with which schools and teachers need to deal. Therefore, all results that bear on the topic should be considered by those in positions of responsibility for children in schools" (p.111).

Pusey and Sadera (2011) reported that preservice teachers are unaware and unprepared to teach students safety, and urged that, "Further research should be completed to determine the best methods to integrate this content into preservice teacher education" (p. 87). In a study of tweens' conceptions of online privacy, Davis and James (2013) also called "teachers and school administrators to explore new ways of engaging with students around online privacy management" (p. 23). These calls to action guided our creation of our action research project on teacher awareness of the necessity to teach their students about cybersafety. This study fills a gap in current literature, offering the results of action research on the efficacy of YAL to raise preservice teachers' awareness of the need to teach cybersafety, and offering educators a guideline for conducting similar action research in their own classes.

Method

Our action research model of using YAL to change perceptions on timely and relevant issues was based on Hill's 2009 article in *The Alan Review* that inspired this study. We wondered if the same concept Hill used with high school students would be effective in looking at attitudinal changes in preservice teachers in a secondary education graduate program when encountering a narrative that included themes of cybersafety in a young adult book.

With this goal in mind, we designed a pre-/post-test survey to target candidates' awareness of online safety and perceptions of teachers' responsibilities toward teaching online safety to students.

The survey was situated in a mid-size metropolitan research university in the Southeast. With the university's Institutional Review Board approval, the survey was administered before and after reading *Want to Go Private* (Littman, 2011) and engaging in a critical analysis of the text. The novel was required class reading in the graduate-level instructional methods course one of the authors teaches, but participation in the pre-/post-survey was entirely voluntary and was not tied to a grade in the course.

Sarah Darer Littman's 2011 novel *Want to Go Private?* (Littman, 2011) is YAL that explores a first-person account of Abby, a teen who becomes unknowingly involved with a child predator through her online interactions. As Abby prepares to navigate her first days of high school with her best friend Faith, Abby and Faith connect online using a new social networking site that allows the users to interact in a virtual world. As Abby's online interactions become more exploitative and dangerous, Abby's friends and family try to help her as she becomes more withdrawn from them and more involved with her online acquaintance.

A survey of teacher candidates and their attitudes about cybersafety was administered prior to reading the novel (Figure 2). Survey responses were anonymous, as the instructor was not present when the survey was administered by a graduate research assistant, and preservice teachers were instructed not to write their names on the survey. Participation in the survey was voluntary and was not tied to a grade in the class.

After the class had read the text, the class participated in a series of interactive activities to stimulate discussion about the novel. First, the instructor divided the class into groups to work collaboratively to complete a two-column table that listed Abby's safety risks throughout the book in the left column. In the right column, the groups

then wrote ways that Abby could have avoided each risk they had listed in the left column. After completing the activity, the groups shared their suggestions with the class and discussed. During the second activity, each group chose a specific excerpt from the chat transcripts in the book where Abby had engaged in risky behavior online. The group members then rewrote an alternative script in which Abby avoided the risk, and role-played their alternate scripts to the class. In the third activity, each group used the website http://padlet.com/ to design a bulletin board that might represent Abby's bulletin board before and after her experiences in the book. The groups then presented their bulletin boards to the class and discussed how Abby was changed by her experiences. The same survey of awareness and attitudes toward cybersafety was re-administered as a post-survey following the class activities.

> **Classroom activities stimulated thoughtful in-depth discussion among teacher candidates in small groups and in whole class discussions.**

The survey questions were designed to measure teacher candidate attitudes toward cybersafety in four areas: 1) perceptions of online social sites, 2) teacher responsibility to teach cybersafety, 3) awareness of teen Internet terminology and acronyms, 4) awareness of cybersafety measures. The questions in this survey were informed by studies of online security awareness among children and teachers (Barnett, 2005; Donovan & Lehman, 2011; Ey & Cupit, 2011; Fodeman, 2006), but were specifically created for surveying preservice teachers prior to and after reading the YAL novel *Want to Go Private* (Littman, 2011). Survey questions did not indicate to the teacher candidates the category to which each question belonged. A Likert scale using Agree (A), Disagree (D), and Unsure (U) was used to measure responses. In addition, open response questions provided an opportunity for students to offer comments related to their attitudes toward cybersafety and teacher responsibility.

Results of Activities and Pre-/Post Survey Action Research

Prior to the post-reading survey, collaborative classroom activities included analysis of cybersafety risks presented in the book, the generation of possible solutions that could have averted each risk, creating alternate chat scripts for Abby's interactions online, and designing bulletin boards to illustrate Abby's personal transformation before and after her experiences. As hoped, the authors observed that the classroom activities stimulated thoughtful in-depth discussion among teacher candidates in small groups and in whole class discussions, leading the teacher candidates to a greater awareness of risks involved in online interactions with adolescents, as well as strategies for increasing personal cybersafety.

The authors looked for information from the survey that could help them in planning coursework for candidates that might help address some of the issues and concerns revealed by the pre- and post-survey results. Responses from the categories of Agree (A), Disagree (D) and Unsure (U) were counted. Additionally, open-ended responses were collected and analyzed.

Demographic information from Questions 1-8 revealed that there were a total of 13 participants who began the project—10 female and three male in the pre-survey. Two students who completed the pre-test survey but did not complete the post-test survey were removed from the final analysis, leaving 11 total participants who completed pre-test and post-test, 8 female and three male. The completers ranged in age from 21-40, representing recent college graduates to those who were coming to a graduate program in teacher certification from the work force. The academic majors included mostly those from English Language Arts, but also from speech, since the study was conducted in a required educational class in instructional methods. Three participants labeled themselves as African-American, six as Caucasian, and two as Asian. Five indicated they had training in cybersafety, while six had not.

In examining the survey data according to categorical delineation, the researchers looked at the following four areas: perceptions of online social sites (Questions 11-13), teacher responsibility to teach cybersafety (Questions 10, 14-18), awareness of teen Internet terminology and acronyms (Questions 19-24), and awareness of cybersafety measures (9, 25-34). Responses with Likert scales matched with appropriate open response questions. For instance, the open-response question 10, "List the basic steps you

take or plan to take to ensure your students are safe online," is coupled with rated questions about teacher responsibility such as, "It is the parents responsibility to teach their children about Internet safety" (Question 14), and "Teachers have an obligation to help train students in Internet safety" (Question 15).

The responses to the Questions 11-13 relating to perceptions of online social sites indicated there was an increase in awareness of dangers online after reading the novel. In the post-survey, more teacher candidates indicated that they had been made to feel uncomfortable online by something someone said or did, which may indicate a greater awareness of dangers online after engaging with the YAL content.

When teacher candidates responded to Questions 10 and 14-18 about teacher responsibility for teaching cybersafety, results indicated that teacher candidates' beliefs shifted. After reading the novel, more candidates indicated that parents and teachers should have a greater responsibility in teaching students about cybersafety. Question 10, "List the basic steps you take or plan to take to ensure your students are safe online" allowed for open response. Prior to reading the YAL novel, most candidates mentioned technical rather than personal components of security, such as firewalls, downloading from secure sources, and using district provided Internet screening applications. One candidate commented that he or she would tell students to "use common sense" and another stated, "I have no idea really."

After reading the YAL novel, respondents moved from relating cybersecurity to depersonalized security programs to the interpersonal components of cybersecurity. In the pre-survey, no candidates

indicated that they planned to teach cybersecurity to their students. In the post-survey, several candidates noted a plan to teach students cybersecurity strategies. Candidates noted a broader list of personal cybersafety strategies than in the pre-survey, such as "only chat with people you know in real life" and "I would have them read this book."

Awareness of Internet terminology and acronyms was measured in Questions 19-24. Pre-test and post-test results indicated a dramatic increase in awareness of teen Internet terminology after reading the YAL novel. The pre-test indicated that the majority of teacher candidates did not know that "grooming" indicates when a child predator makes friends with a child in order to convince the child to have a sexual relationship with the predator. Candidates were also unaware of the teen acronyms "P911" ("Parent 911," indicating that a parent is approaching and that interaction will be censored), "GTG" ("Got to go," often preceded by "P911"), and "WTGP" ("Want to go private?" a request to communicate with an individual via private messaging rather than in a group forum online). Post-test results indicated that after interacting with the YAL novel, the majority of candidates were able to accurately define the aforementioned terms: grooming, P911, GTG, and WTGP. Responses revealed that the candidates' awareness of terms related to cybersafety increased.

Awareness of cybersafety measures was measured in Questions 9 and 25-34. Candidates' awareness of cybersafety measures increased on the post-reading survey, both in the Likert response questions and the extended response. Prior to reading the YAL novel, candidates most often noted awareness of cybersafety in relation to technical components of safety, such as anti-viral

software, cookies, firewalls, clearing browsing history, and using different and secure passwords. After engaging with the YAL novel, candidates' responses revealed a more nuanced awareness of cybersafety that included awareness of safety of self. In the post-survey, it is notable that the majority of candidates indicated that they would be more guarded of giving out personal information to unknown contacts online.

YAL, Cybersafety, and Teacher Responsibility

Recent articles have called for schools to have a greater role in educating students about online safety (Collier & Nigam, 2010; DeFranco, 2011; Endicott-Popovsky, 2009; Fodeman, 2006; Gallagher, 2011; McCarty, Prawitz, Derscheid, & Montgomery, 2011; Morehouse, 2011; Panter, 2009; Wishart, Oades, & Morris, 2007). Unfortunately, there is evidence that preservice teachers are unaware and unprepared to teach their students about cybersafety (Pusey & Sadera, 2011). Though the sample size of this action research project was small, our results clearly indicated positive growth related to teacher candidate awareness of the need to teach cybersafety. It is important to note that candidate results on the post-test survey revealed increased awareness after reading *Want to Go Private*. While there were several questions in which no change was immediately evident in the survey data, it is possible that students who read the text may have experienced changes that were not measured by the survey instrument.

Other YAL texts with Internet interaction as a theme, similar to the one used in this study, are listed in Figure 1. In addition, Koss and Tucker-Raymond (2014) discuss the six novels they used in their analysis, along with other text ideas. Connected content-based resources and steps for

implementation are provided. A recently published, valuable resource is *Words Wound: Delete Cyberbullying and Make Kindness Go Viral* (Patchin & Hinduja, 2014). The authors provide a workbook approach to the problem so that teens can tackle it on their own or with a teacher-led group. Written for the bullied, bullies, and bystanders, the actions suggested in each chapter could lead to lasting change, as Patchin and Hinduja hope.

The most valuable information for us as teacher educators appears to be in the lack of preparedness our candidates feel in dealing with cybersafety. What can we do to remedy this? Responsibility to teach K-12 students cybersecurity is not a standard that is currently identified in our teacher education program, which formed the setting of this action research. Therefore, there is no required course that currently contains this information. As K-12 students represent digital natives who frequently interact online, we need to prepare teacher candidates to address cybersafety in their classrooms for the best interests of the children they will teach. This might take the place of a unit infused in a particular course, and is definitely an issue we need to address.

A high school senior who reported on a student-led cybersafety project noted, "Students listen to other students more than anyone else" (Gray, 2011, p. 33). As an extension, adolescent readers connect to the protagonists in YAL as the young characters face similar challenges as their young readers (Featherston, 2009; Furi-Perry, 2003). This highlights one of the potential strengths of YAL for classroom use; the young characters in YAL tell stories in ways that their peers can understand.

The results of this study indicate that integrating YAL with the theme of cybersafety has a meaningful impact on preservice teachers' awareness of their responsibilities for teaching cybersafety to their future students. Our hope and challenge is that others will take this blueprint for action research and adapt it to other classrooms, both for cybersafety and for additional social issues in order to add to the research on the effectiveness of YAL to change student perceptions. Additional instructional resources are listed in Figure 3.

> ## Integrating YAL with the theme of cybersafety has a meaningful impact on preservice teachers' awareness of their responsibilities for teaching cybersafety to their future students.

We believe as Pusey and Sadera (2011) state:

> Just as we teach our children about safety on the street, strangers, and fire, it is the responsibility of everyone, including educators, to teach K–12 children how to protect themselves in the digital world as well. Beyond the protected school's computing environment is the unprotected environment of home computers and libraries where kids do their homework and play. (p.87)

We need to prepare our teachers with resources to increase awareness of cybersafety. The results to this action research indicate that YAL is a powerful tool to change awareness of cybersafety.

Figure 1

Additional Texts Containing Themes of Internet Interaction:

Book Title (Publication Year)	Author	Themes
Crash Into Me (2010)	Albert Borris	Finding acceptance, suicide
Faking Faith (2011)	Josie Bloss	False online persona, sexting
My Life Undecided (2011)	Jessica Brody	Blogging, online persona
My Invisible Boyfriend (2011)	Susie Day	False online persona
The Virtual Life of Lexie Diamond (2007)	Victoria Foyt	Confusing life online with reality
The Girlfriend Project (2008)	Robin Friedman	Online dating, acceptance, persona
Sometimes Never, Sometimes Always (2013)	Elissa Janine Hoole	False online persona, cyberbullying
Dear Jo: The Story of Losing Leah . . .and Searching for Hope (2007)	Christina Kilbourne	False online personas, Internet predator
The Kingdom of Strange (2008)	Shula Klinger	False online persona
Butter (2012)	Erin Lange	False online persona
Going Vintage (2013)	Lindsey Leavitt	Online personas, ramifications of not being connected online
Ttyl: Internet Girls Series Book 1 (2014 reprint)	Lauren Myracle	Public vs. privacy online
Something to Blog About (2008)	Shana Norris	Public vs. privacy online
By the Time You Read This I'll, Be Dead (2010)	Julie Ann Peters	Finding acceptance, suicide
TMI (2009)	Sarah Quigley	Public vs. Private online, blogging

Figure 2

Pre-/Post-Survey Questions

Directions: After reading each question, write a response or circle "Agree," "Disagree," or "Unsure."

9. List the basic steps you take to ensure you and your family are safe online:

10. List the basic steps you currently take or plan to take to ensure your students are safe online:

11. I have visited a chat room before.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
12. I have been made to feel uncomfortable online by something someone said or did.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
13. I have been misled online.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
14. It is the parents' responsibility to teach their children about Internet safety.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
15. Teachers have an obligation to help train students in Internet safety.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
16. Only children who have not been educated in Internet safety measures could get into trouble online.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
17. Any teen who is well educated in safe Internet usage will be able to detect when a person they are "talking" to online is not who they seem to be.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure

18. Parents should not only have access to their children's computer, but also have all passwords.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
19. I am familiar with the term "grooming" when referring to online behavior.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
20. I know what P911 means.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
Define P911-			
21. I know what WTGP means.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
Define WTGP -			
22. I know what GTG means.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
Define GTG -			
23. I am familiar with avatars.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
What are they?			
24. I know the difference between social networking sites and chat rooms.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
Explain the difference -			
25. Chat rooms are entirely safe for teens to visit as long as online dangers have been explained to them.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
26. If I maintain my privacy settings on Facebook or other social networking sites it is a safe and private place to post personal information.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
27. As long as parents monitor their teens' use of texting and chatting online, children will remain safe.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
28. It is safe for teens to send a revealing picture of themselves as long as it is sent to a trusted person.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure

29. As long as teenagers don't give out their address/location and their last name online, any other information is safe to share.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
30. Having access to unrestricted Internet in a teen's own bedroom heightens the chances of Internet risk taking.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
31. Only insecure teens could be fooled by an online predator.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
32. "Friending" a stranger on Facebook or other social networking sites is risky.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
33. It is okay for a teenager to talk to an unknown avatar online as long as personal demographic information is not given.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure
34. There is a feeling of safety as well as anonymity when chatting with someone online since you cannot see the person's face.	Agree	Disagree	Unsure

Figure 3

Additional Instructional Content-Based Resources

Online Resources:

1. Sarah Darer Littman's website is based on the name of the teen chat room where Abby meets Luke in the book. Chezteen.com is not only full of information on Internet safety, it also has teaching and discussion guides for educators to engage students with the content of the novel.

2. Purdue University's Center for Education and Research in Information Assurance and Security has developed a "Guide to Safe Surfing" that is available at https://www.cerias.purdue.edu/assets/pdf/k-12/questionnaire/guide_to_safe_surfing.pdf.

3. Common Sense Media has designed a program called Scope and Sequence. The program is a series of lesson plans for grades K-12 that covers a myriad of topics related to Internet interaction, including cyberbullying, privacy, reputation, and safety. http://www.commonsensemedia.org/educators/scope-and-sequence.

4. Internet Keep Safe Coalition's C3 Matrix discusses the difference between cybersafety, cybersecurity, and cyberethics, and serves as a useful resource for teaching adolescents about being responsible citizens online. http://www.edtechpolicy.org/C32012/Handouts/C3_matrix.pdf

5. The authors' article in IRA's *Putting Books to Work* column lists additional classroom activities and strategies to use with Littman's *Want to Go Private?* http://www.reading.org/readingtoday/classroom/post/engage/2013/12/03/putting-books-to-work-want-to-go-private-#.U0LHhfldV8E

References

Austin, R., Thompson, D. & Beckman. E. (2006). Locusts for lunch: Connecting mathematics, science, and literature. *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School*, *12* (4), 182-187.

Barnett, J. (2005). Internet safety in southern Ontario schools: A survey of the perceptions of preservice teachers. *The Alberta Journal of Educational Research, 51*(2), 100-117.

Baum, J.J (2005). CyberEthics: The new frontier. *TechTrends: Linking Research and Practice to Improve Learning, 49*(6), 54-55.

Bean, T.W., Readence, J., & Baldwin, R.S. (2011). *Content area literacy: An integrated approach.* (10th ed.). Dubuque, IA: Kendall Hunt.

Bintz, W.P. (2011). "Way-In" books encourage exploration in middle grades classrooms. *Middle*

School Journal, 42(3), 34-45.

Bintz, W. P., Moore, S. D., Hayhurst, E., Jones, R., & Tuttle, S. (2006). Integrating literacy, math, and science to make learning come alive. *Middle School Journal*, *37*(3), 30-37.

Borgia, L. G., & Myers, J. J. (2010). Cyber safety and children's literature: A good match for creating classroom communities. *Illinois Reading Council Journal, 38*(3), 29-34.

Capraro, R.M. & Capraro, M.M. (2006). Are you really going to read us a story? Learning geometry through children's mathematics literature. *Reading Psychology*, *27,* 21-36.

Collier, A., & Nigam, H. (2010). Youth safety on a living Internet. Report of the Online Safety and Technology Working Group. National Telecommunications and Information Administration.

Common Core State Standards (CCSS). (2010). Common core state standards for English language arts & literacy in history/social studies, science, and technical subjects. Retrieved from http://www.corestandards.org/the-standards

Cranmer, S., Selwyn, N., & Potter, J. (2009). Exploring primary pupils' experiences and understanding of 'e-safety'. *Education Information Technology, 14,* 127-142.

Davis, K., & James, C. (2013). Tweens' conceptions of privacy online: Implications for educators. *Learning, Media, and Technology, 38*(1), 4-25. doi:10.1080/17439884.2012.658404

DeFranco, J. (2011). Teaching Internet security, safety in classrooms. *Techniques: Connecting Education and Careers, 86*(5), 52-55.

Donovan, L., & Lehman, K. (2011). Internet safety and high school students: What do they know and what do they need to know? *Library Media Connection, 29*(6), 28-29.

Ellis, R., Taylor, C., & Drury, H. (2007). Learning science through writing: Association with prior conceptions of writing and perceptions of a writing program. *Higher Education, Research & Development*, *26*(3), 297-311.

Endicott-Popovsky, B. (2009). Seeking a balance: Online safety for our children. *Teacher Librarian, 37*(2), 29-34.

Ey, L., & Cupit, C. G. (2011). Exploring young children's understanding of risks associated with Internet usage and their concepts of management strategies. *Journal of Early Childhood Research, 9,* 53-65. doi: 10.1177/1476718X10367471

Featherston, K. (2009). The transforming power of young adult literature. *The ALAN Review, 37*(1), 7-11.

Foss, S.M. (2008). Literature in the mathematics classroom: Introducing *The Inch Boy* to middle school students. *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School, 13*(9), 538-542.

Fodeman, D. (2006). Internet safety: Are we doing enough in grades 4-8? National Association of Independent Schools. Retrieved from http://www.nais.org/Magazines-Newsletters/ITMagazine/Pages/Internet-Safety.aspx

Fodeman, D. & Monroe, M. (2009). The impact of Facebook on our students. *Teacher Librarian, 36*(5), 36-40.

Fry, S. W. (2009). Exploring Social Studies through multicultural literature: *Legend of the St. Ann's flood*. *Social Studies*, *100*(2), 85-92.

Furi-Perry, U. (2003). "Dude, that book was cool": The reading habits of young adults. *Reading Today, 20*(2), 24-25.

Gallagher, F. (2011). Hand in hand: Media literacy and Internet safety. *Library Media Connection, 29*(4), 16-18.

Gray, C. (2011). Internet safety and teens today. *Library Media Connection, 29*(6), 32-33.

Guzzetti, B. J., & Bang, E. (2010). The influence of literacy-based science instruction on adolescents' interest, participation, and achievement in science. *Literacy, Research and Instruction*, *50*(1), 44-67.

Hayn, J. A., Clemmons, K. R., & Garner, L. (2013). Transforming perceptions of English Language Learners: Action research with *Mexican WhiteBoy*. The *SIGNAL Journal, 36*(2), 12-14.

Hayn, J. A., Kaplan, J., & Nolen, A. (2011). Young adult literature: Research in the 21st century. *Theory Into Practice, 50*(3), 182-189.

Hayn, J. A., & Nolen, A.L. (2012). Young adult literature: Defining the role of research. In J. A. Hayn & J. S. Kaplan (Eds.), *Teaching young adult literature today: Insights, consideration, and perspectives for the classroom teacher* (pp. 7-18). Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Hill, C. (2009). Birthing dialogue: Using *The First Part Last* in a health class. *ALAN Review*, *37*(1), 29-34.

Holladay, J. (2010). Cyber bullying. *Teaching Tolerance*, *38,* 42-46.

Hollandsworth, R., Dowdy, L., & Donovan, J. (2011). Digital citizenship in K-12: It takes a village. *TechTrends: Linking Research and Practice to Improve Learning*, *55*(4), 37-47.

Kaiser Family Foundation. (2010). Daily media use among children and teens up dramatically from five years ago. Retrieved from http://www.kff.org/entmedia/entmedia012010nr.cfm

Koss, M.D., & Tucker-Raymond, E. (2014). Construction and depiction of identity in young adult novels with digital communication technologies. *The ALAN Review, Winter* (2), 34-43.

Lenhart, A., Purcell, K., Smith, A., & Zickuhr, K. (2010). Social media and young adults. Retrieved from http://www.pewinternet.org

Levy, P. (2011). Confronting cyber bullying. *T.H.E. Journal*, *38*(5), 25-27.

Lindsay, J., & Davis, V. (2010). Navigate the digital rapids. *Learning & Leading with Technology*, *37*(6), 12-15.

Littman, S. D. (2011). *Want to go private?* Scholastic: New York, NY.

McCarty, C., Prawitz, A. D., Derscheid, L., E., & Montgomery, B., (2011). Perceived safety and teen risk taking in online chat sites. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 14*(3), 169-174.

Morehouse, J. (2011). Students dig up dirt to learn about Internet safety. *Learning & Leading with Technology*, 39(2), 34-35.

National Health Education Standards (NHES). (2011). Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/sher/standards/index.htm

Panter, S. L. (2009). Teaching elementary students to be safe on the Internet. *Library Media Connection*, *27*(6), 32-33.

Patchin, J.W. & Hinduja, S. (2014). *Words wound: Delete cyberbullying and make kindness go viral.* Minneapolis, MN: Free Spirit Publishing.

Prensky, M. (2001). Digital natives, digital immigrants. *On the Horizon, 9*(5), 1-15.

Pusey, P., & Sadera, W. A. (2011). Cyberethics, cybersafety, and cybersecurity: Preservice teacher knowledge, preparedness, and the need for teacher education to make a difference. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education, 28*(2), 82-88.

Sanchez, R. (2007). Music and poetry as social justice texts in the secondary classroom. *Theory and Research in Social Education*, *35*(4), 646-666.

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2012). Eight dimensions of wellness. Retrieved from http://www.promoteacceptance.samhsa.gov/10by10/dimensions.aspx

Wishart, J.M., Oades, C.E., & Morris, M. (2007). Using online role-play to teach Internet safety awareness. *Computers & Education, 48,* 460-473.